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ABSTRACT

Objective: Detection of the mandibular canal (MC) is an important requirement for different surgical procedures such as implant placement, surgical removal of the pathological lesion and even tooth extraction. Orthopantomogram (OPG) and cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) represent an advanced imaging technology, but distinguishing the MC from surrounding structures may be difficult.

Design: This cross-sectional study was conducted to assess the visibility of MC in different regions using CBCT and OPG images and compare between them, also to compare the results between male and female patients.

Material and Methods: In this study 400 hemi mandible (100 males and 100 females) were examined by CBCT cross-section and compared to digital panoramic images. The images were evaluated and the MC visibility was assessed in four regions (second premolar, first molar, second molar and distal to the second molar) and classified into a clear and unclear score. The collected data were statistically analyzed to assess the significant differences between regions, gender and imaging technique.

Results: The MC recognition by CBCT was better than OPG images and showed a significant difference. The percentage of MC visibility by CBCT was higher in the premolar region (65%) and decreased posteriorly while by OPG the higher percentage was in the third molar region (73%). There were no significant differences in MC visibility between males and females.

Conclusion: CBCT is the best imaging technique that provides cross-sectional visualization of the MC, and bone morphology prior to any surgical procedure including dental implant.
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These images were taken with a digital panoramic and CBCT system (SORDAX, Finland) under standard exposure factors (parameters). The selection of patients age was above 17 years old, totally 400 hemi-mandible were examined by cross-sectional views of CBCT and panoramic radiograph of the same patient by using CBCT imaging software (OnDemand3D™ Cybermed Inc. Finland) and for panoramic image using (SCANORA software, Finland). The images were selected according to following criteria:

1- Good image quality.
2- No pathological lesion and fracture of the mandible.
3- No implant and missing teeth in the posterior part of the mandible except the third molar.

The readings were achieved at different mandible regions; second premolar area (A), first molar area (B), second molar area (C) and distal to the second molar area (D) as shown in Figure 1 and 2.

To compare the visibility of MC according to the gender by CBCT and OPG; the samples were divided into; male and female.

These areas were organized and divided by using the dental software application and obtaining reconstructed panorama and cross-sectional views to optimize the center of each examined view. The observer repeated the reading 3 times with a one-week interval between each reading, each observer worked separately, and compared the results to each other.

The visibility of the MC in CBCT and OPG, determined by the ability of the observer to differentiate the MC from the surrounding bone, was registered as either clear (C) or unclear (UC). The data of each examined area were clustered together and the visibility was compared according to the imaging modality (CBCT and OPG) and according to gender (male and female) using CBCT.

The collected data were analyzed statistically by Graph Pad software program by using Chi-square to assess the statistically significant differences between groups.

RESULTS

The visibility of MC in region A by CBCT was clearer than OPG, while in region B the visibility was better using OPG (conversely). The details are shown in Tables 1 and 2 and Figures 3 and 4.

The statistical analysis showed there were significant differences between CBCT and OPG images at the region A, B and D while at the region C there was a non-significant difference as shown in Table 2.

The visibility of MC by CBCT in males and females showed that males had higher visibility of the canal compared to female (represented by bar chart below).

DISCUSSION

This study is the first one in Iraq that is concerned with the MC visibility by CBCT in comparison with OPG. The MC is a significant anatomical structure and fundamental requirement for preoperative planning of surgical procedures involving the posterior mandible to minimize the possible complications during surgery.

In this study, detection of the MC course was assessed by using two types of imaging modalities; CBCT and panoramic radiograph. The results have presented the difference in the clearance of canal visibility throughout the normal anatomical landmarks. The new CBCT imaging technologies have allowed the investigation of anatomical structures in different views without image overlapping or misdiagnosis with other structures (such as bone marrow). CBCT is a providing technique for the detailed diagnosis of bony anatomical landmarks, with good resolution as compared with OPG and low radiation dose as compared with CT scan7-9).

Oliveira-Santos et al in 2011 performed a study to assess the visibility of MC by CBCT and reported that the visibility increased with moving posteriorly (distal to mental foramen). The disagreement with this study may be due to the small sample size used by the authors or the different analytical methods10).

Jung and Cho in 2014 found that visibility of MC by CBCT was better than by OPG and the visibility of the canal was decreased by moving further posteriorly. This disagreed with the results of the current study which may be due to using different measurement techniques or patient age because bone loses density and trabeculation as a result of the lost minerals when the patient grows older11).

The results of the present study showed less percentage for MC visualization by OPG and this was agreed with Naitoh et al in 200912), Jung and Cho in 201413), and Lindh et al in 198914). CBCT reported superior results as compared to OPG for the identification of the MC, which is in line with Kamrun et al in 201315 who confirmed that the visibility
of cross-sectional CT images was significantly higher than that of panoramic images of the MC.

In the present study, the MC was more clearly visible in the anterior part of mandible than the posterior part when using CBCT cross-sectional images. The visibility of MC was become less clear when directed towards the posterior teeth. These findings disagreed with the previously mentioned studies that found more clear visibility of MC in posterior part of mandible and disagreed with the results of OPG in the same study. This difference may be due to that previous studies did not use CBCT therefore they did not reach clear radiographic visibility of the MC near the mental foramen due to the lack of definite walls in the anterior portion of the canal.

This study exhibited the importance of CBCT to clarify the MC and considered as a precise procedure as compared with OPG especially in the mental area, which indicates the ability of CBCT to visualize and diagnose superimposed anatomical landmarks of the oral and maxillofacial region. It is considered a suitable diagnostic tool for the visualization of the MC route because this structure appears to be related to the bone density of canal walls and its visibility was dependent on the canal anatomic features than on the imaging technique. Thus, the degree of detection difficulty might be expected when imagining the MC by other visualization modalities.

**CONCLUSION**

The MC visibility on CBCT cross-sectional images is better than OPG.
that in OPG. However, the visibility of the canal from its surroundings became less clear towards the posterior region of the mandible. The results showed a significant difference between the two imaging techniques with more clearance and visibility of the MC by CBCT. Differences in the visibility of the mandibular on CBCT cross-sectional images between male and female patients were statistically non-significant.
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